

From: Planning@horsham.gov.uk <Planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Sent: 08 February 2026 22:23:48 UTC+00:00
To: "Planning" <planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Subject: Comments for Planning Application DC/25/2114
Categories: Comments Received

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 08/02/2026 10:23 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land at 519396 111237 Church Farm Walk Upper Beeding West Sussex
Proposal:	Erection of 4 No. detached dwellings with associated amenity space, car parking spaces, detached carports, access road and other associated infrastructure.
Case Officer:	Daniel Holmes

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	Ferndale, High Street Upper Beeding, Horsham
----------	--

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Highway Access and Parking- Loss of General Amenity- Other- Overdevelopment- Trees and Landscaping
Comments:	The main basis of my objection is the purposeful disregard for environmental survey procedure, by aggressively cutting back the entire field just before the environmental assessment

I understand that this is not the first time that a similar proposal for building at this ancient field has been made and it has been correctly rejected on mainly environmental grounds

There must reasonably be a limit to repeating the same development proposal when it is deemed to be unsuitable , particularly at this location which is specified as highly important to wildlife

The council would I hope be taking a very strict stance to owners who use these tactics and it should automatically invalidate this, and any future application

As a local resident and someone who regularly walks in the area, I'm aware that there this is a wildlife rich habitat
Many species of rare and vulnerable bats , newts and owls all located at this site would all be impacted which is the main reason for the protection

This is exactly what the SSSI (Sites of Special Scientific Interest) status should defend against , and I would hope that at council would preserve these important locations for future generations.

Overdevelopment

Within view across the river there is shortly going to be built a large new development at Kings barn Lane already impacting the natural environment negatively

The site being outside of the village boundary raises concerns with sprawl into the countryside

There are other more appropriate locations where existing & neglected buildings can be redeveloped instead for housing , within the existing village as an alternative to permanently losing unspoilt natural land

Water neutrality

Serious concerns about water have been raised and I see that Southern water have also made a comment. On these grounds alone this repeat application should be rejected. Some Residents of Beeding are already experiencing flooding and many others at risk , and this is likely to become much more of an issue with additional building sites on natural fields

Highway Access

Access Road is a tiny narrow Lane and is not suitable for volume of traffic

It's reasonable to expect minimum of two cars possibly more at each of the 4 large houses being built. This is after substantial disruption from lorries and deliveries for the build which would be required

Historic significance

Beeding is rich in history and this ancient fields proximity to the Priors built 1096 would need to be taken into consideration also to assist its protection

Kind regards

Telephone:

Email: planning@horsham.gov.uk



Horsham District Council, Alberty House, Springfield Road, Horsham, West Sussex RH12 2GB
Telephone: 01403 215100 (calls may be recorded) www.horsham.gov.uk Chief Executive: Jane E aton