

From: Planning@horsham.gov.uk <Planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Sent: 03 August 2025 14:51:43 UTC+01:00
To: "Planning" <planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Subject: Comments for Planning Application DC/25/0883
Categories: Comments Received

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 03/08/2025 2:51 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Ghyll House Farm, Limekiln Farm Broadwater Lane Copsale West Sussex RH13 6QW
Proposal:	Erection of a temporary workers dwelling for security, stud and equine rehabilitation. To include change of use to the land to the south of Limekiln Wood from agricultural to mixed use agricultural and equestrian, including the barn for the broodmares and retirement horses and alpacas.
Case Officer:	Kate Turner

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	Sedgwick Lodge Sedgwick Park Horsham
----------	--------------------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Design- Highway Access and Parking- Overdevelopment
Comments:	There seems to have been creeping development on this land since it was sold to the applicant, with much of this taking place without prior permission. In a secluded location, with limited access and I believe an Article 4 direction in place, one does have

to wonder why this was chosen by the applicant as a location for a business. Her vet has noted that she lives some distance away so needs closer accommodation, however it would surely have been better for her to purchase a more suitable site closer to her home in the first place, rather than trying to change land use and develop an area that is totally unsuitable for this.

I am unsure how the requirements for a temporary accommodation have been met. The business is not actually functioning at the moment, as development is still ongoing, so how can it be deemed to be sustainable and successful? The accommodation itself also looks to be overly large for a temporary farm worker dwelling.

This would seem to be an attempt to continue to develop this land through slow creep. How long is temporary, and is there a timeframe for this building to be removed? If the applicant is saying that a presence onsite is necessary, how will this change in the future? Surely this will inevitably lead to a permanent residential building.

There are many other animals being kept by other landowners in the fields around this site, without owners residing there. Clearly there are no issues with the wellbeing of these animals, however granting permission for this dwelling could open the flood gates to many more applications from other land owners. It comes back again to the question of why the applicant chose to buy land in a remote and inaccessible site, and then try to develop and damage the ecosystem in place, instead of sourcing a more appropriate location in the first place.

Kind regards

Telephone:

Email: planning@horsham.gov.uk

