

[REDACTED]

---

**Sent:** 25 September 2025 21:27  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** Objection to planning reference number DC/25/1312

**Categories:** Comments Received

Dear planning team ,

I [REDACTED] from Heath cottage , Rusper road , Ifield , Crawley RH11 0LR would like to log my objection again application DC/25/1312 for the following reasons

1) Water . Inadequate resources to support such a large development or a clear plan to support such a large development . Appreciate thd bore hold proposal but I understand no engagement with the water companies had taken place so it's a proposal that has been popped in with no real effort to actually work with the appropriate agencies.

2) building on the flood plane . Insufficient provision to handle the impact of such a large build and the flooding it will cause to the surrounding houses . The two developments summer wood and thd 100 bovis houses across from thd golf course have increased flooding in the adjacent properties. The impacts of such a large development and how the surface water lies is an issue thst would significantly damage the properties that are already built . Please can I ask that you do consider those of us that would be affected by this . I appreciate they will make provision for the development itself but it can't be considered in isolation .

3) destruction of a beautiful green space that provides a diverse and rich environment to animals and insects that can't be protected with such a big development no matter what someone says to support a planning application. I understand a report has been submitted that documents thd habitats and species that will be destroyed by this development . I do this will be reviewed and considered,

4) traffic . I see they suggest it will be 1.2 cars per house as transport will support people's movement needs however this is just not the reality of most households and whilst I appreciate the last survey supports that figure it was done in 2020 and the growth in car sales has been significant since that survey was taken and of course that number continues to grow year after year . If the intent in this application was to be realistic they had the resources to extrapolate that figure to reach a realistic number however they clearly didn't think it mattered and went did the lowest possible number .

5) traffic point 2 ... the volume of traffic a development of this size cannot be supported by the surrounding roads . I feel like who ever has submitted this application never visited the area and actually looked at the roads and how many how thd traffic flows and there is no way out . I know traffic lights have been proposed but that is fine but it doesn't deal with the volume of traffic or change the fact that Hyde drive and Rusper road all tip out to tangmere or one of the other off roads to finally get out to Ifield drive . It just doesn't work .

6) I understand a traveller park has also been proposed and I did wonder if the 1.2 cars will apply as they come with a large number of vehicles .

I appreciate that you must consider each application and I apologise for sending in such a long objection letter . I do hope you read it and I do hope the objections are upheld and the application is denied .

Kind regards ,

A solid black rectangular box used to redact a signature.