

From: Planning@horsham.gov.uk <Planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Sent: 23 July 2025 15:39:03 UTC+01:00
To: "Planning" <planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Subject: Comments for Planning Application DC/25/0102
Categories: Comments Received

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 23/07/2025 3:39 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land at Campsfield Linfield Close Southwater West Sussex RH13 9FR
Proposal:	Outline application with all matters reserved except for access for up to 82 dwellings with vehicular and pedestrian accesses, public open space, noise mitigation measures, landscaping, foul and surface water drainage and associated works.
Case Officer:	Nicola Pettifer

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	9 Gratwick Walk Southwater
----------	----------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Highway Access and Parking- Loss of General Amenity- Overdevelopment- Privacy Light and Noise- Trees and Landscaping
Comments:	It is with horror that I am reading the latest documents where the developers are trying to address each of the objections raised.

As a resident of one of their developments I can assure you that their developments are severely lacking and will not meet the standards you set.

Their idea of suds leave a lot to be desired. Grounds are subsiding where there are not position property, visible suds are not properly maintained and excess water flows into the stream where this development will be placed. This does not align with having flood waters from another 82 dwellings as the ground has been concreted over.

At no point has the developer addressed the accessibility issue of just one road in and out. Under Horsham District Planning Framework (HDPF) policy 41 there should be sufficient parking for each household and visitors. The Mulberry Fields development does not have this and multiple vehicles have to park on Centenary Road already. If you make this a main access road for another 82 properties and the developers, not only will there be chaos on the road, there will be damage to the road, damage to vehicles and accidents caused. A fire engine would not be able to get down this road half the time so how will large construction vehicles? This is also in conflict with the Southwater Neighbourhood Plan (SNP) Policy 4 where people living on the development will not be able to get in and out of their development at peak times. The small roundabout at the top of the development will become a bottle neck as people try to join the A24 and a potential 160 extra vehicles coming in and out a day is not sustainable.

Now add a breach to HDPF policy 33 and consider the severe disruption this development will cause to current residents.

Looking at the biodiversity of the area, this development is breaching HDPF 25 as it is failing to maintain the natural habitat and keep a green corridor open. How many animals must be displaced for the greed of these developers?

As I have already reiterated in other comments, despite the travel plan noted, there simply is not the infrastructure in the area for another 82 houses.

If this development is allowed you will be breaching HDPF and SNP severely.

Kind regards

Telephone:

Email: planning@horsham.gov.uk



Horsham District Council, Alberty House, Springfield Road, Horsham, West Sussex RH12 2GB
Telephone: 01403 215100 (calls may be recorded) www.horsham.gov.uk Chief Executive: Jane Eaton