

**From:** Planning@horsham.gov.uk <Planning@horsham.gov.uk>  
**Sent:** 10 November 2024 17:20:10 UTC+00:00  
**To:** "Planning" <planning@horsham.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** Comments for Planning Application DC/24/1538  
**Categories:** Comments Received

## Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 10/11/2024 5:20 PM.

### Application Summary

Address:	Land To The South of Furners Lane Henfield West Sussex
Proposal:	Erection of 29 dwellings with associated landscaping, open space, parking and creation of new vehicular access
Case Officer:	Stephanie Bryant

[Click for further information](#)

### Customer Details

Address:	Badger House Furners Lane Henfield
----------	------------------------------------

### Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Highway Access and Parking
Comments:	I have three specific comments regarding the developers' proposals for the entry and exit point on to Furners Lane.  My first comment is that the entry/exit point should, for safety reasons, be well away from the driveways of Badger House and the neighbouring property Brackley. Vehicles entering and exiting the drives of these two properties require reversing in and out and vehicles entering and exiting the developers' site should be kept well clear. I note the developers' drawings of where entry and exit is envisaged but they appear to not be absolutely consistent

between documents and in my view, to circumvent this problem, need to be a couple of yards further west, i.e opposite the hedges of Brackley and Summerfields. This should be possible without being too close to the heritage trees.

My second comment is that the proposals should make clear the "give way" requirements at the new junction. I presume that Furners Lane will continue to be a through lane at the junction point with the give way obligation being on drivers exiting the site. However, this is not clear from the proposals.

My third comment is regarding the hedging east of the junction. My understanding is that the hedgerow will be mostly maintained but a small section will be removed near the new junction but replaced with new hedging post build. It is not clear whether any area east of the junction will be left permanently unhedged.

---

Kind regards