

Arbortrack Systems Ltd
The Granary Studio
Crewe Lane
Kenilworth
Warwickshire
CV8 2LA

Telephone: 07986 122074
E-mail: james@arbortrackservices.com

Arboricultural Impact Assessment

& Method Statement:

Land at Church Farm
Upper Beeding
Steyning
BN44 3HD

REPORT PREPARED FOR:

Fairfax Acquisitions Ltd.
Buncton Barn
Buncton Lane
Bolney
West Sussex
RH17 5RE

REPORT PREPARED BY

James Bell
MSc. (Env). Arbor. A. Tech. Cert.

Ref: jwmb/rpt2/churchfarm/AIAAMS

Date: 11th December 2025

The content and format of this report are for the exclusive use of the client. It may not be sold, lent, hired out or divulged to any third party not directly involved in the subject matter without the written consent of Arbortrack Systems Ltd.

CONTENTS

1.0	Introduction	3
1.1	Purpose & Use of the Report	3
1.2	Terms of Reference	3
1.3	Tree Survey	3
1.4	Development Proposals & Impact Assessment	4
1.5	Sequence of Works	4
1.6	Site Supervision	5
1.7	Site Monitoring & Contact Information	5
1.8	Statement Adoption	5
2.0	Pre-Development Site Preparation	6
2.1	Arboricultural Works	6
2.2	Preparation of Surfaces	6
2.3	Installation of Tree Protection Barrier	6
2.4	Pre-Development Site Inspection	6
3.0	Development Phase	7
3.1	General Precautions	7
3.2	Root Protection Areas	7
3.3	Site Access Accommodation & Storage	7
3.4	Routing & Installation of Services	7
3.5	Demolition Measures	8
3.6	Changes in Grade	8
3.7	Construction Measures	8
3.8	Removal of Tree Protection Barrier	8
3.9	Post-Construction Landscaping	8
4.0	Summary of Proposed Methods	9
4.1	Table of Impacts & Mitigation	9
5.0	Completion	9
5.1	Completion Meeting	9
Appendix A	Tree Protection Plan	
Appendix B	Tree Survey Schedule & Recommended Tree Works	
Appendix C	Fencing Specification to BS5837:2012	
Appendix D	Glossary & General Guidelines	
Appendix E	Tree Constraints Plan	

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Purpose & Use of the Impact Assessment & Method Statement

- 1.1.1 This arboricultural impact assessment & method statement report has been prepared for submission to Horsham District Council (HDC) to accompany a detailed planning application for a proposed residential development at Land at Church Farm, Upper Beeding, Steyning, BN44 3HD. The detailed planning application is for the erection of 4 N° detached dwellings with covered car parking, landscaping, and with pedestrian and vehicular access via Church Farm Walk, to be constructed in a layout shown in Appendix A. This statement is intended to demonstrate the feasibility of construction without harm to the retained tree resource on and adjoining the site. See the material accompanying this report for full scheme details. Please note that this report is for planning purposes only and is not a tree risk assessment.
- 1.1.2 This document lays down the methodology for any proposed works that may have an effect upon the trees on and adjacent to the site. It is essential within the scope of any contracts related to the development proposals that this method statement is observed and adhered to. It is recommended that this document form part of the work schedule and specification issued to the building contractors and can be used to form part of the contract.
- 1.1.3 Copies of this document should be available for inspection on site. The developer will inform the local planning authority within twenty-four hours if the designated arboriculturist is replaced.

1.2 Terms of Reference

- 1.2.1 I am instructed by Fairfax Acquisitions Ltd. to prepare an arboricultural impact assessment & method statement report to accompany a planning application for a proposed residential development at Land at Church Farm, Upper Beeding, Steyning, BN44 3HD with reference to British Standards publication: Trees in relation to design, demolition & construction - Recommendations (BS5837:2012).
- 1.2.2 The tree protection plan at Appendix A is based on:
- Topographical survey(s) drawing reference 20/5422 from Marvin & Partners Ltd, Passfield Business Centre, Lynchborough Road, Passfield, Hampshire, GU30 7SB.
 - Base Block Plan drawing reference 2517 PL03 Rev F from Paul J. Hewett, R.I.B.A. Chartered Architect, 51 Foxdale Drive, The Dell, Angmering, West Sussex, BN16 4HF.

1.3 Tree Survey

- 1.3.1 A BS5837:2012 survey of the trees near the proposals was conducted on 14th June 2021 and updated on 20th August 2025 & 2nd October 2025 by James Bell of Arbortrack Systems Ltd. Full tree survey data is provided at Appendix B.
- 1.3.2 Of the 53 surveyed trees or groups of trees on or near the site, none are 'A' (high quality) category, 3 are 'B' (moderate quality) category, 43 trees are 'C' (low quality) category, and the remaining 7 trees are 'U' (unsuitable for retention) category as per guidance in British Standards document: Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations. (BS5837:2012).

-
- 1.3.3 Data from the iGeology app from the British Geological Survey suggests that it is likely that the site has a bedrock geology of West Melbury Marly Chalk Formation - Chalk and Upper Greensand Formation - Siltstone and sandstone with superficial deposits of River Terrace Deposits, 2 (adur) - Sand and gravel and Alluvium - Clay, silt, sand and peat. The prevailing soil conditions evidently provide a reasonable medium for tree growth. Any potential for soil compaction (highly deleterious to root function) during development will depend on the proportion of clay present in the upper profile. The presence of clay in this location would appear to be possible but cannot be confirmed. Further to confirmation of the precise soil type present, a structural engineer may be able to advise further on the local geology and its implications, if any, for development.
- 1.3.4 No Ancient Semi-Natural Woodland (ASNW) is present near the site: see the Department for Environment Fisheries & Rural Affairs (DEFRA) MAGIC website for details at <http://magic.defra.gov.uk/MagicMap.aspx>. An area of Priority Habitat Inventory Woodland (PHI) is present offsite, within the grounds of St Peter's Church – see Appendix A.
- 1.3.5 HDC have not been directly contacted to establish whether any surveyed trees are subject to Tree Preservation Orders (TPO), but their electronic mapping service suggests that no surveyed trees are subject to a TPO and that the site does not stand in a conservation area (CA).

1.4 Development Proposals & Impact Assessment

- 1.4.1 The proposals are for a residential development. See proposals at Appendix A and accompanying material for full details.
- 1.4.2 Trees G12 (partial), 18, G19, 20 & 21 will be removed to allow or facilitate development. Tree 18 is a 'U' (unsuitable for retention quality) category tree as per the guidance in BS5837:2012, and the rest are 'C' (low-quality) category. The loss of these trees is a very low and acceptable impact in the context of this site and these proposals, and is likely to have a minimal amenity impact on the surrounding area.
- 1.4.3 The great majority of site works will take place beyond the root protection area (RPA) of retained trees and canopies. Retained trees will be protected throughout the course of development either by fencing to the specification recommended by BS5837:2012 (see Appendix C for details) or by chestnut pale fencing or plastic barrier mesh (held firmly in place with road pins or equivalent) – see section 2.3.1 and Appendix A for details.

1.5 Sequence of Works

- 1.5.1 The sequence of works should be as follows:
- tree works required to allow or facilitate development – see Appendix B
 - erection of tree protection barrier (TPB) on advised line(s) – see Appendix A
 - laying of service runs
 - main construction phase
 - removal of TPB
 - soft landscaping

1.6 Site Supervision

1.6.1 An individual, e.g. the Site Agent, must be nominated to be responsible for all arboricultural matters on site. This person must:

- be present on-site for the majority of the time
- be aware of the arboricultural responsibilities
- have the authority to stop any work that is causing, or has the potential to cause, harm to any retained tree
- be responsible for ensuring that all site operatives are aware of their responsibilities toward trees on site and the consequences of the failure to observe these responsibilities
- make immediate contact with the local authority and/or the designated arboriculturist in the event of any tree-related problems occurring, whether actual or potential

1.7 Site Monitoring

1.7.1 The site agent will be responsible for monitoring all arboricultural works, inspecting protective fencing and monitoring all on-site works in the context of tree protection. The designated arboriculturist will be available for site visits on a basis to be agreed upon between the client and planning authority when/if appropriate or required, i.e. if required by condition. It is recommended that a record of site visits is maintained for inspection on-site and copies forwarded to the developer/agent and to the local planning authority. A certificate of practical completion can be produced for sites deemed by all parties to merit this.

1.7.2 It is the responsibility of the client to advise Arbortrack when the project begins and to forward on the approval notice when published on the planning portal, should supervision requirements be stipulated.

1.7.3 Principal contact information: 1/. Mr James Bell. Arbortrack Systems Ltd. Arboricultural Consultant. 07986 122074. 2/. Mr Andrew Bush. HDC Arboricultural Officer. 0300 1234 202. 3/. Site agent. Details to be advised. 4/. Mr Paul Hewett. Project Architect. 07792 363270. hewett439@btinternet.com.

1.8 Statement Adoption

1.8.1 It is recommended that, in due course, acceptance of the recommendations in this report is demonstrated by, for example, the architect specifying in writing to the building contractor that tree care conditions apply in the execution of the contract and by an estimate or written undertaking from the contractor to the architect demonstrating that the practical aspects of observation of such recommendations have been priced in.

1.8.2 If conflicts between any part of a tree and the proposals arise during the course of development, these can often be resolved quickly and at little cost if a qualified arboriculturist is consulted promptly. Lack of such care is often apparent quickly, and the decline and death of such trees can spoil design aims and can, of course, affect saleability, as well as reflecting poorly on the construction and design personnel involved. Trees that have been the recipients of careful handling during construction add considerably to the appeal and value of the finished development.

2.0 Pre-Development Site Preparation

2.1 Arboricultural Works

2.1.1 See Appendix B for full details of tree works required to allow or facilitate development.

2.2 Preparation of Surfaces – n/a

2.3 Installation of Tree Protective Barrier

2.3.1 The tree protection barrier (TPB) for most of the site must be comprised of a vertical and horizontal scaffold framework, braced to resist impacts, with vertical tubes spaced at a maximum level of 3m. On to this, weldmesh panels should be securely fixed with wire scaffold clamps: see section 6.2.2 and Figure 2 of BS5837:2012 (see Appendix C). However, in the context of this site & these proposals, the use of chestnut pale fencing or plastic barrier mesh (held firmly in place with road pins or equivalent) is considered more appropriate & cost-effective in some areas of the site. The suggested location(s) of the TPB is shown in Appendix A.

2.3.2 This TPB is to be erected before any construction work commences on site, is to remain ‘in situ’ and undamaged for the duration of all work or each phase, and is only to be removed once all work is completed. If any work other than preparatory tree work is deemed necessary prior to the erection of fencing, the designated arboriculturist should be informed to enable his/her presence to oversee the work being carried out.

2.3.3 The only other exception is the completion of soft landscaping, but if any excavations, however minor, are to be carried out as part of soft landscaping within RPAs, an arboricultural assessment must be carried out beforehand, and any arboricultural protection measures incorporated. The TPB should carry waterproof warning notices denying access within RPAs.

2.3.4 The Tree Protection Plan in Appendix A illustrates where the protective fencing should be located to form the boundary of the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ). The TPZ is an exclusion zone, and suitable steps should be taken to prevent access by pedestrians and vehicles and the storage of any works materials and equipment should be located outside of the TPZ.

2.4 Pre-Development Site Inspection

2.4.1 At the instigation of the client/site agent or HDC, upon the erection of the fencing, the designated arboriculturist will meet the relevant local authority member on-site to check the standard of the work(s). If there are any amendments required to the protective fencing, these will be agreed upon at this meeting, confirmed in writing, and undertaken thereafter.

3.0 Development Phase

3.1 General Precautions

- 3.1.1 No fires shall be made on any part of the site or within 10m of the furthest extent of the canopy of any tree or group tree to be retained on-site or on land adjoining.
- 3.1.2 No spilling or pouring of fuels, oils, solvents, or tar shall be made on any part of the site.
- 3.1.3 No materials that are likely to have an adverse effect on tree health, such as oil, bitumen or cement, will be stored or discharged within 10 metres of the trunk of a tree that is to be retained.
- 3.1.4 No spillage or discharge of wet mortar or concrete shall be made on any part of the site.
- 3.1.5 No storage of materials shall be made within the protective fences.
- 3.1.6 No breaching or moving of the protective fences shall occur without the approval of the designated arboriculturist.
- 3.1.7 Alterations in levels within the tree protection fence areas shall be avoided.

3.2 Root Protection Areas

- 3.2.1 The RPA is a desirable zone of protection around the trees' rooting system, and these have been marked on the plan in Appendix A. The RPAs will lie within the TPZ and, therefore, be fully fenced off (see Appendix A) unless appropriate ground protection is offered or if the impact on the RPA is deemed very low and acceptable.

3.3 Site Access, Accommodation & Storage

- 3.3.1 Many site activities are potentially damaging to trees, e.g. material storage, parking, soil compaction and the use of plant machinery. In this latter example, particular care is required to ensure that the operational arcs of excavation and lifting machinery, including their loads, do not physically damage trees when in use or while accessing the site.

3.4 Routing & Installation of Services

- 3.4.1 Service runs should avoid RPAs of retained trees where possible. If unavoidable, any trenches within the RPAs of site trees should be hand-dug and kept as narrow as possible. They should not extend to within 1m from the base of any retained tree trunk. Exposed roots larger than 25mm in diameter should be retained with their bark intact and, when exposed, wrapped in dry hessian sacking.
- 3.4.2 Where crown interference with mature trees is a possibility, over-ground services will be routed in an alternative direction. In relation to this, any landscaping taking place should accommodate the presence of over-ground services and take mature tree size into account.

3.5 Demolition Measures – n/a

3.6 Changes in Grade

- 3.6.1 The upper layer of topsoil (top 60cm) contains the majority of a tree's roots, and if this is disturbed by a change in ground level, serious damage can be caused. On this basis, as a minimum, level changes to soil should be avoided within RPAs.
- 3.6.2 If any significant section of ground level requires raising within RPAs, this should be achieved using coarse, granular material such as pebbles. See section 7.4.4.4 of BS5837:2012.
- 3.6.3 If ground levels need to be altered within 1.5 metres of any tree trunk, prior agreement must be sought from and given by the local authority tree officer.

3.7 Construction Measures

- 3.7.1 No specialist construction methods are required for the proposals in terms of trees.

3.8 Removal of Tree Protective Barrier

- 3.8.1 The protective fencing may be removed only upon completion of the development phase when all drainage and service runs have been installed and any site machinery has been removed.

3.9 Post-Construction Landscaping

- 3.9.1 Following the development phase, retained trees may be subject to either landscaping or seeding beneath their canopies.
- 3.9.2 Any approved landscaping works should avoid the changing of ground levels or deep digging in the vicinity of trees except where already approved. Mechanised cultivation, such as tractor-mounted rotovation, must not be used within the RPA of retained trees.
- 3.9.3 Heavy machinery should not be used in the vicinity of any retained trees unless adequate ground protection is in place.
- 3.9.4 If herbicides are to be used, they should be appropriate to their purpose and not used in such a way as to damage any retained trees or vegetation.
- 3.9.5 Ideally, retained trees should be within a shrub area as this reduces the chances of compaction and disturbance of root systems.

4.0 Summary of Proposed Methods

4.1 Table of Impacts and Mitigation

4.1.1 The table below summarises the main areas where trees could become damaged by the proposed development and the methods that need to be adopted in order to prevent such damage:


<u>Impact</u>	<u>Mitigation</u>	<u>Reference</u>	<u>Trees Affected</u>
Passage of machinery and storage of materials over RPAs	Construction of protective fencing to acceptable standards	Sections 2.3. Fencing spec Appendix C, Tree Protection Plan Appendix A	See Appendix A

5.0 Completion

5.1 Completion Meeting

- 5.1.1 Following completion of the approved works on site, the designated arboriculturist can meet with a local authority representative and agree upon any remedial works deemed necessary (if any).
- 5.1.2 Any works agreed upon in the above meeting will be confirmed in writing and should be performed to BS3998:2010.
- 5.1.3 Any work proposed post-development should be checked to avoid a penalty for performing illegal work on a protected tree.

APPENDIX A



Arbortrack Systems Ltd

The Granary Studio, Crewe Lane, Kenilworth, CV8 2LA

07986 122074 / james@arbortrackservices.com

Site: Church Farm, Upper Beeding

Drawing Title: Tree Protection Plan

Appendix: A

Key:

1:500@A1

Rev D,
December 2025

Category A

Category B

Category C

Category U

Category

Root Protection Area

Crown Spread

Tree Number

Species

Category

Tree Proposed for Removal

Tree Protection Fencing

Priority Habitat Inventory Woodland

Chestnut Pale Fencing

NOTE: Tree/group numbers marked with an * have approximate locations.

Do not scale from this drawing. Please check all dimensions on site and notify us of any discrepancies.

This drawing was produced in colour. Do not rely on monochrome copies or those reduced in size.

This drawing is copyright [Arbortrack Systems Ltd] and may not be used or changed without the written consent of Arbortrack Systems Ltd.

APPENDIX B

Site: Land at Church Farm Upper Beeding

Date: 2nd October 2025

Appendix B

BS5837:2012 Tree Survey Schedule

Arbortrack Systems Ltd

07986 122074

Surveyor(s): James Bell

Ref: jwmb/rpt2/churchfarm /AIAAMS



Tree No.	English Name	Height	Crown Spread				Ground Clearance	Stem Diameter	Protection Radius	Age Class	Growth Vitality	Structural Condition	B.S. Cat	Sub Cat	Useful Life	Comments
			N	S	E	W										
1	Elder	2.5	1	1	1.5	2	1.5	210	2.5	Early Mature	Moderate	Fair	U		<10	Ivy smothered No merit 3 stems destroyed
2	Chestnut, Horse	5	2	2	2	2	1.5	150	1.8	Semi-mature	Normal	Good	C	2	20+	
3	Sycamore	9	2	2	2	2	0.5	240 #	2.9	Early Mature	Normal	Good	C	2	20+	Ivy clad Remote survey [RS] Basal suckers with 2 larger trunks-all that remains from larger tree: dead trunks lying around
4	Cypress, Leyland	16	3	3	1.5	6	2.5	500 #	6.0	Early Mature	Normal	Good	C	2	20+	Ivy clad Early Coryneum canker Offsite; RS; more like the Monterey parent
5	Cypress, Leyland	15	2.5	6	2	2	2	420 #	5.0	Early Mature	Normal	Good	C	2	20+	Ivy clad Early Coryneum canker Offsite; RS; more like the Monterey parent
6	Cypress, Leyland	15	5	5	4.5	3	2.5	580 #	7.0	Early Mature	Normal	Fair	C	2	20+	Ivy clad Early Coryneum canker Offsite; RS; more like the Monterey parent
7	Elder	4	2	2	3	2	1	268 #	3.2	Early Mature	Poor	Poor	U		<10	Ivy smothered A sparser than normal canopy

Site: Land at Church Farm Upper Beeding

Date: 2nd October 2025

Appendix B

BS5837:2012 Tree Survey Schedule

Arbortrack Systems Ltd

07986 122074

Surveyor(s): James Bell

Ref: jwmb/rpt2/churchfarm /AIAAMS



Tree No.	English Name	Height	Crown Spread				Ground Clearance	Stem Diameter	Protection Radius	Age Class	Growth Vitality	Structural Condition	B.S. Cat	Sub Cat	Useful Life	Comments
			N	S	E	W										
8	Cypress, Leyland	14	3	4	1.5	4	2	525 #	6.3	Early Mature	Normal	Good	C	2	20+	Offsite RS No view of base; ivy clad; minor needle browning
9	Cypress, Leyland	14	4.5	4.5	1.5	1.5	2	400 #	4.8	Early Mature	Normal	Good	C	2	20+	Offsite RS No view of base; ivy clad; minor needle browning
10	Cypress, Leyland	13	4.5	4.5	1.5	1.5	2	400 #	4.8	Early Mature	Normal	Good	C	2	20+	Offsite RS No view of base; ivy clad; minor needle browning
11	Sycamore	1.65	.5	.5	.5	.5		330	4.0	Early Mature	Normal	Fair	C	2	10+	Re-flushing stump Powdery mildew
G12	Blackthorn	4	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	0	75 #	0.9	Early Mature	Normal	Good	C	2	20+	Dense scrub
G13	Cypress, Leyland	5-7	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	0	180 #	2.2	Semi-mature	Normal	Good	C	2	20+	RS No view of base
G14	Sycamore	13	4	4	4	4	1	495 #	5.9	Early Mature	Normal	Good	C	2	20+	Selected individual trunk diameter data available on request

Site: Land at Church Farm Upper Beeding

Date: 2nd October 2025

Appendix B

BS5837:2012 Tree Survey Schedule

Arbortrack Systems Ltd

07986 122074

Surveyor(s): James Bell

Ref: jwmb/rpt2/churchfarm /AIAAMS



Tree No.	English Name	Height	Crown Spread				Ground Clearance	Stem Diameter		Protection Radius	Age Class	Growth Vitality	Structural Condition	B.S. Cat	Sub Cat	Useful Life	Comments
			N	S	E	W											
15	Sallow	7	5	0	2	1.5	0	310		3.7	Early Mature	Normal	Poor	U		<10	Partially collapsed Asymmetry (major)
G16	Elder	4	2	4	2	2	1.5	150	#	1.8	Early Mature	Normal	Good	C	2	20+	RS
17	Sycamore	10	4	4	4	4	2.5	270		3.2	Early Mature	Normal	Good	C	2	20+	Old lilac @ base=a little out of context
18	Elder	2.75	1.5	1.5	2.75	2	1.5	159	#	1.9	Early Mature	Poor	Poor	U		<10	A sparser than normal canopy Drought stress-probably
G19	Blackthorn	3	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	0	201	#	2.4	Early Mature	Normal	Good	C	2	20+	
20	Hawthorn, Common	5	3	3	3	3	2	220		2.6	Early Mature	Normal	Good	C	2	20+	
21	Sycamore	5	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	2	106	#	1.3	Young	Normal	Good	C	2	20+	RS Ivy smothered dead elder at base to north

Site: Land at Church Farm Upper Beeding

Date: 2nd October 2025

Appendix B

BS5837:2012 Tree Survey Schedule

Arbortrack Systems Ltd

07986 122074

Surveyor(s): James Bell

Ref: jwmb/rpt2/churchfarm /AIAAMS



Tree No.	English Name	Height	Crown Spread				Ground Clearance	Stem Diameter	Protection Radius	Age Class	Growth Vitality	Structural Condition	B.S. Cat	Sub Cat	Useful Life	Comments
			N	S	E	W										
22	Cypress, Leyland	12	2	2	6	6	3	350 #	4.2	Early Mature	Normal	Good	C	2	20+	RS Stand on priory side of boundary
23	Apple, Cultivated	7	4	6	7	3	0.5	380	4.6	Mature	Normal	Fair	C	2	10+	Garden fruit tree Growing with an elder
24	Apple, Cultivated	5	3	2	3	4	0.5	390	4.7	Mature	Normal	Fair	C	2	10+	Garden fruit tree Asymmetry (major) Ivy smothered; deadwood
25	Sycamore	13	5	6	6	7	2	661	7.9	Early Mature	Normal	Good	C	2	20+	Ivy clad
26	Myrobalan plum	5.5	3	4	4	3	1	294 #	3.5	Early Mature	Normal	Fair	C	2	10+	Small group really
27	Sycamore	11	6	6	6	6	0.5	931	11.2	Early Mature	Normal	Good	C	2	20+	Ivy clad Broken branches Squirrel damage; broken concrete slabs around base
27b	Sycamore	7.5	3	3	2	3	1	180	2.2	Semi-mature	Normal	Good	C	2	20+	Asymmetry (minor)

Site: Land at Church Farm Upper Beeding

Date: 2nd October 2025

Appendix B

BS5837:2012 Tree Survey Schedule

Arbortrack Systems Ltd

07986 122074

Surveyor(s): James Bell

Ref: jwmb/rpt2/churchfarm /AIAAMS



Tree No.	English Name	Height	Crown Spread				Ground Clearance	Stem Diameter		Protection Radius	Age Class	Growth Vitality	Structural Condition	B.S. Cat	Sub Cat	Useful Life	Comments
			N	S	E	W											
27a	Hawthorn, Common	5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	0.5	343		4.1	Early Mature	Normal	Good	C	2	20+	
28	Elder	3	2	2.5	1.5	1.5	1	283 #		3.4	Mature	Dead	Poor	U			
G29	Elder	4	2	2	2	2	1.5	396 #		4.8	Mature	Dead	Poor	U			
30	Sycamore	13	8	7	7	5	0.5	674		8.1	Early Mature	Normal	Good	C	2	20+	Ivy clad Deadwood (minor) throughout crown Tight fork @ base
31	Apple, Cultivated	3.5	1.5	4	2.5	2.5	1	270		3.2	Early Mature	Normal	Poor	C	2	10+	Prone Garden fruit tree Growing with an elder 2m to S
G32	Sycamore	14	5	5	7	7	4.5	450 #		5.4	Early Mature	Normal	Fair	C	2	20+	Ivy smothered boundary screen-mostly offsite
G32a	Mixed Broadleaves	6	3	3	3	3	0.5	150 #		1.8	Semi-mature	Normal	Good	C	2	20+	Ash, sycamore & Myrobalan plum: not on topo

Site: Land at Church Farm Upper Beeding

Date: 2nd October 2025

Appendix B

BS5837:2012 Tree Survey Schedule

Arbortrack Systems Ltd

07986 122074

Surveyor(s): James Bell

Ref: jwmb/rpt2/churchfarm /AIAAMS



Tree No.	English Name	Height	Crown Spread				Ground Clearance	Stem Diameter	Protection Radius	Age Class	Growth Vitality	Structural Condition	B.S. Cat	Sub Cat	Useful Life	Comments
			N	S	E	W										
33	Sycamore	11	4.5	5	5	5	2.5	400 #	4.8	Early Mature	Normal	Fair	C	2	20+	Ivy smothered RS
G33a	Blackthorn	7	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	1	300 #	3.6	Mature	Normal	Good	C	2	20+	RS
34	Ash, Common	15	7	8	11	6	2.5	1100 #	13.2	Mature	Poor	Poor	U		<10	Established chalara Ivy smothered Cavity/decay @ base: leaning to east; may be offsite
35	Sycamore	9	5	3	4	4	1	426 #	5.1	Early Mature	Normal	Fair	C	2	20+	Ivy smothered Asymmetry (major) May be offsite
36	Ash, Common	15	9	12	8	12	2	1200 #	14.4	Mature	Poor	Poor	C	2	10+	Deadwood Chalara RS
37	Sycamore	12	4	8	4	5	2.5	620	7.4	Mature	Normal	Fair	B	2	20+	Asymmetry (major) Ivy clad Decay in trunk: well callused
38	Sycamore	14	5	7	7	6	4	800 #	9.6	Mature	Normal	Fair	B	2	20+	Asymmetry (major) Ivy clad Stubs & snags; decay in crown

Site: Land at Church Farm Upper Beeding

Date: 2nd October 2025

Appendix B

BS5837:2012 Tree Survey Schedule

Arbortrack Systems Ltd

07986 122074

Surveyor(s): James Bell

Ref: jwmb/rpt2/churchfarm /AIAAMS



Tree No.	English Name	Height	Crown Spread				Ground Clearance	Stem Diameter	Protection Radius	Age Class	Growth Vitality	Structural Condition	B.S. Cat	Sub Cat	Useful Life	Comments
			N	S	E	W										
39	Ash, Common	7	2	3	2	2	1.5	140 #	1.7	Young	Moderate	Fair	C	2	10+	
G40	Sycamore	15	7	8	5	7	1.5	390	4.7	Early Mature	Normal	Good	B	2	20+	10 trees in group with a low quality ash
41	Ash, Common	14	12	10	9	5	1.5	610	7.3	Mature	Normal	Good	C	2	10+	Very early chalara Might have resistance
41a	Hawthorn, Common	6	3	2	2.5	2.5	1	245 #	2.9	Early Mature	Normal	Good	C	2	20+	
42	Sycamore	7	2	2	2	2	2	270 #	3.2	Early Mature	Moderate	Fair	C	2	10+	RS Only upper crown visible
43	Sycamore	8	4	3	3	3	2	330 #	4.0	Early Mature	Moderate	Fair	C	2	10+	RS Only upper crown visible
44	Sallow	6	3	3	3	3	1.5	420 #	5.0	Early Mature	Normal	Good	C	2	20+	RS No access

Site: Land at Church Farm Upper Beeding
Date: 2nd October 2025

Appendix B
BS5837:2012 Tree Survey Schedule

Arbortrack Systems Ltd
07986 122074
Surveyor(s): James Bell
Ref: jwmb/rpt2/churchfarm /AIAAMS



Tree No.	English Name	Height	Crown Spread				Ground Clearance	Stem Diameter	Protection Radius	Age Class	Growth Vitality	Structural Condition	B.S. Cat	Sub Cat	Useful Life	Comments
			N	S	E	W										
45	Sycamore	12	5	3	5	5	1.5	432 #	5.2	Early Mature	Normal	Good	C	2	20+	Tight fork
46	Ash, Common	10.5	3	7	7	7	1.5	480	5.8	Mature	Normal	Good	C	2	10+	Early chalara Might be resistant
47	Ash, Common	4.5	2	2	2.5	2.5	0.5	620	7.4	Mature	Poor	Poor	C	2	10+	Decay in trunk Characterful Wet here in the winter
G48	Mixed Broadleaves	5	4	4	4	4	0.5	174 #	2.1	Early Mature	Normal	Good	C	2	20+	Hawthorn & elm predominantly

Site: Land at Church Farm Upper Beeding

Date: 2nd October 2025

Appendix B

Recommended Tree Works

Arbortrack Systems Ltd

07986 122074

Surveyor(s): James Bell

Ref: jwmb/rpt2/churchfarm /AIAAMS



Tree No.	English Name	Height	Stem Diameter	Crown Spread				BS Cat	Sub Cat	Recommended Works	Comments / Reasons
				N	S	E	W				
G12	Blackthorn	4	75	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	C	2	Remove (partial)	Dense scrub To permit development
18	Elder	2.75	159	1.5	1.5	2.75	2	U		Remove	A sparser than normal canopy Drought stress-probably To permit development
G19	Blackthorn	3	201	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	C	2	Remove	To permit development
20	Hawthorn, Common	5	220	3	3	3	3	C	2	Remove	To facilitate development
21	Sycamore	5	106	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	C	2	Remove	RS Ivy smothered dead elder at base to north To facilitate development

Site: Land at Church Farm Upper Beeding
Date: 2nd October 2025

Appendix B
Recommended Tree Works

Arbortrack Systems Ltd
07986 122074
Surveyor(s): James Bell
Ref: jwmb/rpt2/churchfarm /AIAAMS



Tree No.	English Name	Height	Stem Diameter	Crown Spread				BS Cat	Sub Cat	Recommended Works	Comments / Reasons
				N	S	E	W				
36	Ash, Common	15	1200	9	12	8	12	C	2	Further Investigation	Deadwood Chalara RS Recommended husbandry 2
41	Ash, Common	14	610	12	10	9	5	C	2	Monitor ongoing condition	Very early chalara Might have resistance Recommended husbandry 3

Appendix B

Notes on Tree Survey Schedule:

- **Height** describes the approximate height of the tree measured in metres from ground level.
- The **Crown Spread** refers to the crown radius in metres from the stem centre and is expressed as an average of **NSEW** aspect if symmetrical.
- **Ground Clearance** is the height in metres of crown clearance above adjacent ground level.
- **Clear Stem Height** is the distance between trunk base and first branch separation measured in metres.
- **Stem Diameter** is the diameter of the stem measured in millimetres at 1.5m from ground level for single-stemmed trees. See section 4.6 of BS5837:2012 for details of treatment for multistems.
- **Protection Radius** is a radial distance in metres measured from the trunk centre.
- **Growth Vitality** - **Normal** growth, **Moderate** (below normal), **Poor** (sparse/weak), **Dead** (dead or dying tree).
- **Structural Condition** - **Good** (no or only minor defects), **Fair** (remediable defects), **Poor** - Major defects present.
- **B.S. Category** refers to (British Standard 5837:2012 Table 1) and refers to tree/group quality and value; '**A**' - High, '**B**' - Moderate, '**C**' - Low, '**U**' - Unsuitable for Retention.
- **Sub Cat** refers to the retention criteria values where **1** is mainly **arboricultural** qualities, **2** is mainly **landscape** qualities and **3** is mainly **cultural** values including conservation.
- **Useful Life** is the tree's estimated remaining contribution in years.
- **First Significant Branch (FSB)** is the height of the first significant branch above ground level taken at the trunk separation point.

Notes on Recommended Tree Works:

- **Recommended husbandry 1, 2 & 3:**

- 1** Urgent (ASAP)
- 2** Standard (6-12 months)
- 3** Non-Urgent (2-3 years)

- **CB** Cut back to boundary/clear from structure
- **CL#** Crown lift to given height in meters
- **CT#%** Crown Thinning by identified %
- **CCL** Crown clean (remove deadwood/crossing & hazardous branches & stubs)
- **CR#%** Crown Reduce by given maximum percentage (of outermost branch & twig length)
- **DWD** Remove deadwood
- **Fell** Fell to ground level
- **FInv** Further Investigation (generally with decay detection equipment)
- **Pol** Pollard or re-pollard
- **Mon** Monitor ongoing condition (annually by staff/owners & every 2-3 years by consultant). Svr Ivy/Clr Bs Sever Ivy/clear base and re-inspect base/stem for concealed defects

APPENDIX C

Appendix C Tree Protective Fencing Detail (from BS5837:2012)

Figure 2 Default specification for protective barrier





Figure 3 Examples of above-ground stabilizing systems





Illustrative example of strengthened tree RPA ground protection set forward of protective barrier.

An adaptation of BS 5837: 2005 Trees in Relation to Construction (Figure 3.)

Installation by Tree Projects 2008.



APPENDIX D

Appendix D


1.0 Glossary of Terms

Canker	Disease damaged area of a tree, usually caused by fungus or bacteria.
Co-dominant Stem	A stem which has grown in direct competition to the main stem and which has formed a substantial size influencing the appearance of the tree.
Crown Lift	The removal of the lowest branches, usually to a given height. It allows more residual light and greater clearance underneath for vehicles etc.
Crown reduce	The reduction of a tree's height or spread while preserving its natural shape.
Crown thin	The removal of some of the density of a tree's crown, usually 5-25% allowing more light through its canopy and reducing wind resistance.
Deadwood	The removal of all dead, dying and diseased branches from a tree. Also, wood which is dead.
Dieback	Where branches are beginning to show signs of death usually at the tips in the crown.
Epicormic shoots	Small branches that grow in uncharacteristic clusters around the base or the stem of a tree, usually as a result of bad pruning or some other stress factor.
Formative pruning	The trimming of a tree to remove weaknesses and irregularities which may lead to problems. The formative pruning operation is aimed at reducing the potential for future weaknesses or problems within the tree's crown.
Included bark	Where the bark on two adjoining branches or stems is growing tightly together, forming a joint with limited physical strength.
Pollarding	A method of tree management in which the main trunk of the tree is cut at about 4m, and the resulting branches are then cropped on a regular basis.
Remedial pruning	The removal of old stubs, deadwood, epicormic growth, rubbing or crossing branches and other unwanted items from the tree's crown. Sometimes referred to as crown cleaning.
Topping	Topping is a form of pruning that removes terminal growth leaving a 'stub' cut end. Topping causes serious health problems to a tree.

2.0 General Guidelines

- 2.1 All work must be to BS 3998:2010 – Tree work - Recommendations
- 2.2 Staff carrying out the work must be qualified, experienced and ideally be Arboricultural Association approved contractors, and should be covered by adequate public liability insurance.
- 2.3 Any defects seen by a contractor or the client that were not apparent to the consultant must be brought to the consultant's attention immediately.
- 2.4 No liability can be accepted by the consultant in respect of the trees unless the recommendations of this method statement are carried out under the supervision of the designated arboriculturist.
- 2.5 It is advisable to have trees inspected by designated arboriculturist regularly.

APPENDIX E



Arbortrack Systems Ltd

The Granary Studio, Crewe Lane, Kenilworth, CV8 2LA
07986 122074 / james@arbortrackservices.com

Site: Church Farm, Upper Beeding	
Drawing Title: Tree Constraints Plan	1:500@A1
Appendix: A	Rev B, October 2025

Key:

● Category A

● Category B

● Category C

● Category U

Category

Root Protection Area

Crown Spread

Tree Number

Species

Category

NOTE: Tree/group numbers marked with an * have approximate locations.

Do not scale from this drawing. Please check all dimensions on site and notify us of any discrepancies.

This drawing was produced in colour. Do not rely on monochrome copies or those reduced in size.

This drawing is copyright [Arbortrack Systems Ltd] and may not be used or changed without the written consent of Arbortrack Systems Ltd.