



## HORSHAM DISTRICT COUNCIL CONSULTATION

<b>TO:</b>	Horsham District Council – Planning Dept
<b>LOCATION:</b>	Land East of Mousdell Close Rectory Lane Ashington RH20 3GS
<b>DESCRIPTION:</b>	Erection of 74 dwellings with associated access, parking and landscaping
<b>REFERENCE:</b>	DC/25/1327
<b>RECOMMENDATION:</b>	Objection
<b>SUMMARY OF COMMENTS &amp; RECOMMENDATION:</b> The application has not met the national minimum validation requirements and is therefore invalid, and a thorough review of the BNG baseline assessment and calculation to demonstrate that a 10% BNG requirement will be achieved, cannot be made. Therefore, this must be provided prior to grant of planning permission to overcome the recommended objection.	
<b>MAIN COMMENTS:</b> The comments below relate solely to the BNG proposal within the above application. Note that these comments are not exhaustive. All other ecology matters will be reviewed by Place Services and NatureSpace, where appropriate.  1.1 A Statutory Biodiversity Metric in its original format has not been provided with the application and therefore it does not meet the national minimum validation requirements. In the absence of this document, an objection has been recommended as a proper review of the BNG baseline assessment and further information cannot be made. It is not doubted that the metric has been completed to inform the Biodiversity Net Gain Statement (Lizard Landscape Design and Ecology, 2025), however HDC require submission of the metric prior to grant of planning permission.  1.2 Section 3.10 of the BNG Statement suggests that the metric calculation demonstrates that the development will have a -67.12% net loss (-9.11 units) in area habitat, a 29.35% net gain (+0.46 units) in hedgerows, and a 49.02% net gain (+0.22 units) in watercourses. The proposal includes delivery of habitats of medium distinctiveness within the hedgerow and watercourse modules (which both demonstrate a net gain), and therefore as per HDC's definition this is considered significant on-site BNG. As such, if minded to approve, a S106 legal agreement will be required to secure the BNG, and monitoring reports will be required typically in years 1,2,5,10,15,20,25 and 30. It has been suggested that the intent to reach the minimum 10% net gain in area habitats is to purchase the unit deficit from a local habitat bank.	

### Baseline

1.3 As the site has recently been cleared, the ecologist has used on-site indicators and historical aerial imagery to determine the baseline prior to this clearance, as per habitat degradation rules. The baseline assessment with regards to habitat type and condition is agreed.

1.4 It is noted that within the Ecological Impact Assessment (Lizard Landscape Design and Ecology, 2025), section 5.4.2 states that the proposed site access may result in the removal of tree T01 along the northern boundary. It is noted from the Arboricultural Impact Assessment and Method Statement (Lizard Landscape Design and Ecology, 2025) that T44 has been marked to be removed. Further confirmation is sought as to whether these trees are the same, or whether T01 is considered separate. Also note that T44 has a DBH of 79cm, and therefore as per the Biodiversity Metric User Guide, any medium trees (DBH >30cm) or larger that are due to be removed from hedgerows must be recorded separately as individual trees in the metric and marked as lost.

### HMMP

1.5 A full HMMP i.e., including species lists and proportions, management practices to achieve the proposed habitat types and conditions, risks, and remedial measures, will be required alongside the Biodiversity Gain Plan. HDC Legal Officers may ask for further information pertaining to the draft HMMP for the purposes of drafting any legal agreement.

1.6 The post-development habitat map should distinguish the modified grassland parcels that are to be of good and poor condition.

1.7 It is noted in section 5.6.2 of the EcIA that seed and fruit bearing shrub and tree species such as cherry, rowan, birch and crab apple should be selected within the scheme to provide a foraging resource for birds and invertebrates. These species are therefore expected in the forthcoming full HMMP. It is also advised to include a mix of plants for pollinators, including native night-scented flowering species for nocturnal invertebrates, thus also providing for foraging bats.

### **ANY RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS:**

If minded to approve:

*Informative -*

Scenario 1: BNG Required

<b>NAME:</b>	Linsey King Ecology Officer (Planning)
<b>DEPARTMENT:</b>	Strategic Planning - Specialists
<b>DATE:</b>	25/09/2025